

# Conjectures, Proofs and Consensus

Chad E. Brown

Czech Technical University in Prague

June 2020

- ▶ Conjecture: Is  $\varphi$  a theorem?
- ▶ Positive answer: Proof of  $\varphi$ .
- ▶ Negative answer? If lucky, proof of  $\neg\varphi$ .
  - ▶ In complete theories, we're always lucky.
  - ▶ If “almost” complete, then “almost” always lucky.
- ▶ Where do conjectures come from?
- ▶ Where do proofs come from?

# An “Almost” Complete Theory: HOHF

- ▶ Start with simply typed lambda calculus with a base type  $o$  of propositions and another base type  $\iota$ .
- ▶ Add axioms so that  $o$  is 2 valued (true and false).
- ▶ Add axioms so that  $\iota$  contains the hereditarily finite sets (and only those sets, assuming standard semantics).
- ▶ Conjectures are closed propositions (sentences).

# A Simple HOHF Conjecture

- ▶ Simple “Conjecture”:

$$\forall p : \iota \rightarrow o. \forall AB : \iota. pA \rightarrow p(A \cup B)$$

- ▶ Negation of this formula:

$$(\forall p : \iota \rightarrow o. \forall AB : \iota. pA \rightarrow p(A \cup B)) \rightarrow \perp$$

- ▶ Idea: Assume the conjecture, then:

- ▶ Choose  $p$  to be  $\lambda x : \iota. x = \emptyset$ .
- ▶ Choose  $A$  to be  $\emptyset$ .
- ▶ Choose  $B$  to be  $\{\emptyset\}$ .
- ▶ Prove a contradiction by proving  $pA$  and  $\neg(p(A \cup B))$ .

# Expressiveness of HOHF

- ▶ There are many families containing “hard” conjectures.
- ▶ **Diophantine**: Can  $X \uplus Y \uplus 1$  and  $2 \times X \times X \times Y$  have the same cardinality?
- ▶ **AIM Related**: If  $Q$  is a (finite) set and  $\cdot, \backslash, /$  are loop operators with identity  $e$ , then does equation  $E$  follow from equations  $E_1, \dots, E_n$ .
- ▶ **Higher-order unification**: Is there a function  $F : (\iota \rightarrow \iota) \rightarrow \iota \rightarrow \iota$  such that  $\forall xy. Fxy = x(xy)$ ?
- ▶ **QBF**:  $\forall p : o. \exists q : o. p \leftrightarrow q$ .

# Pseudorandom Conjectures

- ▶ **Diophantine**: Generate two polynomials  $p$  and  $q$ , each with monomials of the form  $X^k Y^m Z^n$  with  $k, m, n \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ . Then form conjectures like “there are no  $X, Y, Z$  such that  $p$  and  $q$  have the same cardinality.”
- ▶ **AIM Related**: Randomly construct inner mappings by composing some basic inner mappings, and add assumptions that pairs of these inner mappings commute (AIM) and possibly that some inner mappings have a small order (not AIM). Under these conditions, conjecture an equation equivalent to part of the AIM conjecture.
- ▶ **QBF**: A prefix of at least 50 quantifiers followed by  $lhs \leftrightarrow rhs$  where both sides use all quantified variables.

# Theorem Proving as Proof-of-Work?

- ▶ PoW: Proof-of-work (e.g., Bitcoin, Litecoin, etc.):
  - ▶ Every 10 minutes or so someone (a “miner”) solves a puzzle and creates a block.
  - ▶ The miner is rewarded with X bitcoins in the block.
  - ▶ The block records data about who owns what.
  - ▶ Difficulty of puzzles adjust smoothly with mining power.
- ▶ Theorem proving as proof-of-work?
  - ▶ Where would the conjectures come from?
  - ▶ How could they be ensured to be not-too-easy and not-too-hard?
  - ▶ How could difficulty smoothly adjust?

- ▶ PoS: Proof-of-stake (e.g., Peercoin):
  - ▶ For each block, someone who owns a certain amount of the cryptocurrency is “randomly” chosen to create a new block.
- ▶ Proof-of-burn (e.g., Slimcoin):
  - ▶ Burning one kind of coin allows users to create new blocks for another coin.
  - ▶ The burning is a proxy for PoW.



# Integrating Theorem Proving into Consensus

- ▶ Proofgold (proofgold.org) combines proof-of-burn, proof-of-stake and theorem proving as proof-of-work.
- ▶ Burning litecoins, possibly combined with staking proofgold bars, allows users to create new Proofgold blocks.
- ▶ Each new Proofgold block creates 50 new bars.
  - ▶ 25 new bars go to the block creator (burner/staker).
  - ▶ 25 new bars go to a bounty on a conjecture.
  - ▶ The conjecture (in HOHF) is determined by Litecoin information.
  - ▶ Litecoin is being used to secure the Proofgold chain and as a pseudorandom number generator for conjectures.

# Integrating Theorem Proving into Consensus

- ▶ Proving bounty conjectures (or their negations) gives users more stake to participate in creating blocks.
- ▶ In addition to pseudorandom conjectures, users can publish their own conjectures (in HOHF or another theory) and place bounties on them.
- ▶ *Proofgold rewards the best theorem provers.*
- ▶ Where do proofs come from?
  - ▶ Megalodon (Interactive Prover for Set Theory)
  - ▶ Use ATPs for suggestions
  - ▶ (Partial?) Ivy to Proofgold Translator
  - ▶ To do: Translators from other ATPs and ITPs.