### Can Pigeonhole Principle Definitions Be Learned?

Chad E. Brown, Mikoláš Janota

Czech Technical University in Prague

September 2025

#### Outline

- Introduction
- 2 Introduction
- Pigeonhole in SAT
- 4 Extended SAT Solving
- Cook's Solution
- 6 Can This Be Learned?
- Conclusion

#### Motivation

• Pigeonhole problems are challenging for SAT solvers.

• (Haken 85) Expenential Resolution Proofs

• (Cook 76) Relatively Short Proofs Extended Resolution Proofs

We solve them using Cook's approach with Extended SAT solving

• Can this be learned?

#### Goals

 Benchmark higher order ATPs on realistic higher-order mathematical problems.

Replace large parts of proof scripts with automated calls.

Study proof reconstruction for ATP-generated proofs.

# Pigeonhole in SAT

• n pigeons, n-1 holes

•  $P_{i,j}$  atom meaning Pigeon i is in Hole j

•  $(n-1)\binom{n}{2}$  2-literal clauses – no 2 pigeons in the same hole

ullet n clauses with n-1 literals saying each pigeon is in at least one hole

### CaDiCaL Results

• CaDiCaL 2.1.3: 10 pigeons in 3.2s; 11 in 19.6s and 12 in 5.5m

• CaDiCaL 1.3.1: 10 pigeons in 0.4s; ... 14 in about 5m

### Operations

• **Definition**<sub>2</sub>: Define q as  $p \lor p'$  for 2 propositional variable p and p'.

• **Definition**<sub>3</sub>: Define q as  $p \lor p' \land p''$  for 3 propositional variable p, p' and p''.

Lemma Prove a new clause and add it to the clause set.

• Delete Delete a clause from the clause set.

## Extended SAT Solving

• Start with a clause set S to refute.

- **Definition**<sub>2</sub>: Add clauses for  $q \Leftrightarrow p \lor p'$ .
- **Definition**<sub>3</sub>: Add clauses for  $q \Leftrightarrow p \lor p' \land p''$ .
- **Lemma** Prove a lemma clause  $I_1 \vee \cdots \vee I_n$  from S by calling a SAT solver with S and unit clauses  $\neg I_i$ . If successful, add the lemma clause to S.
- **Delete** Delete a clause from S.

Call a SAT solver on the clause set S.



# Cook's Recipe

- Use a sequence of definitions
- and a sequence of lemmas
- ullet to reduce the problem with n pigeons and n-1 holes
- to the problem with n-1 pigeons and n-2 holes.
- Then delete the old clauses.

## Cook's Recipe at 15

- Assume 15 pigeons. Want to reduce to 14.
- Start with 1485 clauses.
- Define  $Q_{i,j}$  as  $P_{i,j} \vee (P_{i,13} \wedge P_{14,j})$ .
- Q would inject the first 14 pigeons into the first 13 holes.
- Prove lemmas with Q corresponding to the 14 case.
- Delete the old clauses.

### Modified Recipe at 15

- Assume 15 pigeons. Want to reduce to 14.
- Define  $Q_{i,j}$  as  $P_{i,j} \vee (P_{i,13} \wedge P_{14,j})$ .
- Prove lemmas with Q corresponding to the 14 case.
- Plus symmetry reduction:
  - Make extra definitions with just disjunctions to state and prove lemmas
  - $\bullet \ (\bigvee_{i'\in\{0,\ldots,i-1\}} Q_{i',j}) \Rightarrow \neg Q_{i,j}.$
- Repeat until we're at 11 pigeons and then call the SAT solver.
- Total time: Just over 3 minutes



#### Can This Be Learned?

- Is it possible to "learn" something to suggest the appropriate operations?
- Given the current clause set, the suggestor would suggest either:
  - Make a **definition**  $p \vee p'$  (suggesting the p and p').
  - Make a **definition**  $p \lor p' \land p''$  (suggesting the p, p' and p'').
  - Suggest and prove a **lemma** clause.
  - Delete a clause.
  - Just call a SAT solver to finish.



### Training Data

• Training data is straightforward.

- While following the recipe at each step:
- We know the current clause set.
- We know the option the recipe chooses.

Issue: sometimes the order matters and sometimes it doesn't.

### Training Data

• For 30 pigeons:

• 70000 instances of training data.

- 15219 for definitions.
- 7809 for lemmas.
- 51063 for deleting clauses.

#### Possible Tests

• There are obvious variants of Pigeonhole to test on.

• Reorder literals and clauses.

Drop literals from long clauses (Pigeon 5 is not allowed in Hole 7).

• Does the learned suggestor work more generally?

#### Conclusion

 Cook's recipe allows us to solve Pigeonhole problems with Extended SAT solving.

• The recipe also gives training data.

• Question: Can the recipe be learned from the training data?

Answer: I don't know.

## Acknowledgments

Supported by the Czech Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports within the dedicated program ERC CZ under the project POSTMAN no. LL1902.