

# *ENiGMA's proof of Pythagoras theorem*

Josef Urban

Czech Technical University in Prague  
Czech Institute for Informatics, Robotics and Cybernetics



**European Research Council**

Established by the European Commission

# Can You (or Your Machine) Prove the Pythagoras Theorem?

- What are those nerds on 6th floor doing all nights there?
- Formal statement and 15-line human-written verified proof:

```
:: The Pythagorean theorem
theorem :: BHSP_5:6
for X being RealUnitarySpace
for x, y being Point of X st x,y are_orthogonal holds
||.(x + y).|| ^2 = (||.x. || ^2) + (||.y. || ^2)
proof
let X be RealUnitarySpace; :: thesis:
let x, y be Point of X; :: thesis:
assume x,y are_orthogonal ; :: thesis:
then A1: x .|. y = 0 by BHSP_1:def 3;
A2: (x + y) .|. (x + y) >= 0 by BHSP_1:def 2;
A3: x .|. x >= 0 by BHSP_1:def 2;
A4: y .|. y >= 0 by BHSP_1:def 2;
||.(x + y).|| ^2 = (sqrt ((x + y) .|. (x + y))) ^2 by BHSP_1:def 4
.= (x + y) .|. (x + y) by A2, SQUARE_1:def 2
.= ((x .|. x) + (2 * (x .|. y))) + (y .|. y) by BHSP_1:16
.= ((sqrt (x .|. x)) ^2) + (y .|. y) by A1, A3, SQUARE_1:def 2
.= ((sqrt (x .|. x)) ^2) + ((sqrt (y .|. y)) ^2) by A4, SQUARE_1:def 2
.= (||.x. || ^2) + ((sqrt (y .|. y)) ^2) by BHSP_1:def 4
.= (||.x. || ^2) + (||.y. || ^2) by BHSP_1:def 4 ;
hence ||.(x + y).|| ^2 = (||.x. || ^2) + (||.y. || ^2) ; :: thesis:
end;
```

## *Can You Prove the Pythagoras Theorem? - Details*

- Our automatically found proof - the ENIGMA system:

[http://grid01.ciirc.cvut.cz/~mptp/enigma\\_prf/t6\\_bhsp\\_5.out](http://grid01.ciirc.cvut.cz/~mptp/enigma_prf/t6_bhsp_5.out)

- [http://grid01.ciirc.cvut.cz/~mptp/7.13.01\\_4.181.1147/html/bhsp\\_5.html#T6](http://grid01.ciirc.cvut.cz/~mptp/7.13.01_4.181.1147/html/bhsp_5.html#T6)

```
# Proof object clause steps           : 181
# Proof object initial clauses used   : 51
# Proof object initial formulas used   : 34
# Proof object simplifying inferences  : 210
# Parsed axioms                       : 342
# Initial clauses in saturation       : 440
# Processed clauses                   : 8804
# ...remaining for further processing  : 4119
# Generated clauses                   : 65094
# ...of the previous two non-trivial  : 60807
# User time                           : 28.629 s
```

## Can You Prove the Pythagoras Theorem? - Details



- Jan Jakubuv (the main developer of ENIGMA) **has proved it automatically on May 6 2020 in 30s** (are you that fast?)
- ENIGMA was guided by 150 decision trees each of max depth 80 and having maximum 8000 leaves
- Trained by the LightGBM gradient boosted tree toolkit (state of the art ML, fast).
- On a corpus of ca 500k previous automatically found proofs.
- We could not prove the theorem automatically before that even with much higher time limits.

## *Can You Prove the Pythagoras Theorem? - Details*

- The proof attempt started with 342 mathematical facts.
- You can think of the facts as flat language sentences.
- In fact they are first-order logic parse trees that can interact in many ways.
- Preselected from a knowledge base (math library) of ca 150k math facts
- The initial facts logically interact, producing more and more facts (inferences)
- Their number quickly explodes (millions) unless some control is introduced
- In 30s this proof attempt has generated "only" 60k more nontrivial facts

## *Can You Prove the Pythagoras Theorem? - Details*

- Each of the 60k facts was scored by the 150 LightGBM decision trees
- I.e. on average we scored 2k facts per second (on a single commodity CPU)
- This is also thanks to our fast (but accurate and memory efficient) characterization of the facts by syntactic features
- The scoring was gradually choosing the best of the generated facts
- These are the ones used to perform inferences with the previously chosen (processed) ones
- This is called the **given clause loop** and it is the basis of today's strongest theorem provers

## *Can You Prove the Pythagoras Theorem? - Details*

- ENIGMA gradually chose 4k facts from the 60k and did all possible inferences (modus-ponens style) among them
- When the last one was chosen, it interacted with the previous facts in such a way that the proof was finished
- In the end, only 34 of the 342 initial facts were needed for the proof
- And the proof needed only 181 steps, not 4k (and could generate much fewer than 60k facts)
- So if we were smarter, we could do it even faster!
- We could learn from this proof - saying which facts/inferences were good/bad
- And in the next proving attempt, we would probably do the proof better
- So we could interleave proving and learning from proofs
- **And thus train better and better automated mathematicians!**

## Feedback loop for ENIGMA on Mizar data

- Interleave proving and learning of ENIGMA guidance
- Done on 57880 Mizar problems very recently
- Ultimately a 70% improvement over the original strategy
- From 14933 proofs to 25397 proofs (all 10s - no cheating)
- As of 2021 we have 42519 proofs

	$S$	$S \odot M_9^0$	$S \oplus M_9^0$	$S \odot M_9^1$	$S \oplus M_9^1$	$S \odot M_9^2$	$S \oplus M_9^2$	$S \odot M_9^3$
solved	14933	16574	20366	21564	22839	22413	23467	22910
$S\%$	+0%	+10.5%	+35.8%	+43.8%	+52.3%	+49.4%	+56.5%	+52.8%
$S+$	+0	+4364	+6215	+7774	+8414	+8407	+8964	+8822
$S-$	-0	-2723	-782	-1143	-508	-927	-430	-845

	$S \odot M_{12}^3$	$S \oplus M_{12}^3$	$S \odot M_{16}^3$	$S \oplus M_{16}^3$
solved	24159	24701	25100	25397
$S\%$	+61.1%	+64.8%	+68.0%	+70.0%
$S+$	+9761	+10063	+10476	+10647
$S-$	-535	-295	-309	-183